

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Developing a Unified Intercarrier)	
Compensation Regime)	CC Docket No. 01-92
)	
T-Mobile et al. Petition for Declaratory)	
Ruling Regarding Incumbent LEC)	
Wireless Termination Tariffs)	

Amendment to Reply Comments of Townes Telecommunications, Inc.

Townes Telecommunications, Inc. (Townes), by its attorneys, hereby files an amendment to its Reply Comments in the above-referenced proceeding to reflect the addition of Verizon Wireless to the certificate of service. No change to the text of the Reply Comments has been made and, therefore, the Reply Comments are not being reserved on the other parties. Townes notes that the time for filing replies has not expired and, therefore, this amendment is timely.

Respectfully submitted,

**TOWNES TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
INC.**

By: _____/s/_____
Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Mary J. Sisak
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens
Duffy & Prendergast
2120 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 659-0830

Dated: July 12, 2005

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Developing a Unified Intercarrier)	
Compensation Regime)	CC Docket No. 01-92
)	
T-Mobile et al. Petition for Declaratory)	
Ruling Regarding Incumbent LEC)	
Wireless Termination Tariffs)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF TOWNES TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Townes Telecommunications, Inc. (Townes)¹, by its attorneys, hereby submits comments in response to the oppositions to Petitions for Reconsideration filed in the above-referenced proceeding. Specifically, Townes files a response in support of the joint opposition filed by the National Telecommunications Cooperative Association (NTCA) and the Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications Companies (OPASTCO) (hereinafter referred to as the NTCA/OPASTCO opposition) and the opposition filed by CenturyTel, Inc. (CenturyTel), as discussed herein. Townes also files a response with respect to the comments filed by Verizon Wireless concerning an amendment to section 20.11(f).

In their oppositions, NTCA/OPASTCO and CenturyTel oppose T-Mobile USA, Inc.'s (T-Mobile's) request that the Commission clarify that Section 51.705 of the Commission's rules govern proceedings regarding incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC) wireless termination tariffs for past periods. As NTCA/OPASTCO and CenturyTel correctly point out, such a

¹ Townes is comprised of seven rural incumbent local exchange carriers serving areas in Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Kansas, Missouri and Texas.

clarification would be contrary to the Commission's Order in which the Commission found that the LECs' wireless termination tariffs filed in the states were not unlawful and that "[b]y routing traffic to LECs in the absence of a request to establish reciprocal or mutual compensation CMRS providers accept the terms of otherwise applicable state tariffs."² As stated by CenturyTel, "there are no grounds for application of the pricing standards of Section 51.705 of the rules for past period (sic) where valid tariffs were in effect."³

Townes notes that the appropriate remedy for T-Mobile or any other party who believed that a tariffed rate was unlawful would have been a challenge to the tariffed rate once it was filed. Apparently, having failed to do that, T-Mobile now seeks to have the Commission alter tariffed rates retroactively. The Commission has stated that its Order affects state tariffs "only prospectively"⁴ and it should deny T-Mobile's request to affect them retroactively.

Townes also responds to the comments of Verizon Wireless concerning section 20.11(f) of the rules. In its comments, Verizon Wireless states that the Commission might have "inadvertently suggested that ILECs may assert a right to request direct interconnection from CMRS providers by incorporating the term "interconnection" in new rule Section 20.11(f)."⁵ Verizon Wireless asks the Commission to clarify that LECs still must allow indirect interconnection by replacing the term "interconnection" in Section 20.11(f) with the phrase "reciprocal compensation arrangements."⁶ Townes believes that no change to Section 20.11(f) is necessary to preserve the right of carriers to request indirect interconnection. However, to the

² *Developing a Unified Inter-carrier Compensation Regime; T-Mobile et al. Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Incumbent LEC Wireless Termination Tariffs*, Declaratory Ruling and Report and Order, CC Docket No. 01-92, FCC 05-42, ¶12 (Feb. 24, 2005) (Order).

³ CenturyTel Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration at 11-12.

⁴ Order at ¶56.

⁵ Comments of Verizon Wireless at 8-9.

⁶ *Id.* at 10.

extent that the Commission believes some clarification is needed, Townes opposes the change proposed by Verizon Wireless as too expansive. Rather, the Commission, at most, should add simply that Section 20.11(f) does not affect any right to indirect interconnection.

Based on the foregoing, Townes requests that the Commission deny the requests of T-Mobile and Verizon Wireless as discussed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNES TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By: _____/s/_____
Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Mary J. Sisak
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens
Duffy & Prendergast
2120 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 659-0830

Dated: July 11, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Althea Pierce, do hereby certify that I have on this 11th day of July, 2005, had copies of the foregoing Reply of Townes Telecommunications, Inc. delivered to the following, via First Class U.S. mail or electronic mail, as indicated.

Russell D. Lukas *
David L. Nace
Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs Chartered
1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1500
McLean, VA 22102
Counsel for Rural Cellular Association

W.R. England, III*
Brian T. McCartney
Brydon, Swearingen & England P.C.
312 East Capitol Avenue
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
*Counsel for Missouri Small Telephone
Company Group*

Kenneth E. Hardman*
American Association of Paging Carriers
1015 18th Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

John F. Jones*
CenturyTel, Inc
100 Century Park Drive
Monroe, LA 71211

Karen Brinkmann*
Stephanie Alfonso-Frank
LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
Suite 1000
555 Eleventh Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004-1304
Counsel for CenturyTel, Inc.

L. Marie Guillory*
Daniel Mitchell
National Telephone Cooperative
Association
4121 Wilson Boulevard
10th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203

Stuart Polikoff*
Stephen Pastor Kovich
Brian Ford
Organization for the Promotion and
Advancement of Small
Telecommunications Companies
21 Dupont Circle, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Cheryl A. Tritt*
Frank W. Krogh
Morrison & Foerster, LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 5500
Washington, DC 20006
Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc.

Harold Salters*
Daniel J. Menser
James W. Hedlund
T-Mobile USA, Inc.
401 9th Street, NW, Suite 550
Washington, DC 20004

* via First Class Mail

Carl W. Northrop*
David Siddall
W. Ray Rutngamlug
Paul Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, LLP
875 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Counsel for Metro PCS Communications

Mark A. Stachiw*
MetroPCS Communications
8144 Walnut Hill Lane
Suite 800
Dallas, TX 75231

Best Copy and Printing, Inc.
Portals II
445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402
Washington, DC 20554
Via Email: fcc@bcpiweb.com

/s/

Althea B. Pierce

* via First Class Mail

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Althea B. Pierce, do hereby certify that I have on this 12th day of July, 2005, had a copy of the Amendment to Reply Comments of Townes Telecommunications, Inc. delivered to the following, via First Class U.S. mail.

John T. Scott, III
Charon Phillips
Verizon Wireless
1300 I Street, N.W.
Suite 400 West
Washington, D.C. 20005

_____/s/_____
Althea B. Pierce